


## Q1 Overall, how did this CLE meet your expectations? Was it...

Answered: 20 Skipped: 0




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
A lot better than expected	5.00%	1
Better than expected	45.00%	9
About what I expected	50.00%	10
Worse than expected	0.00%	0
A lot worse than expected	0.00%	0
TOTAL		20

#	BELOW, PLEASE ENTER ANY OBSERVATIONS REGARDING SPECIFIC SESSIONS AT THE CLE:	DATE
1	I would like to see a lecturer from the plaintiff's civil private practice viewpoint. (Also, these evaluations should be confidential)	10/11/2017 12:23 PM
2	The attorney who presented about tax consequences of settlements wasn't very good. Much "um"s and "you know"s. She did not add anything to the written materials.	10/10/2017 8:58 AM
3	I really thought the first sessions in morning and afternoon were very helpful	10/6/2017 6:27 PM
4	I was not able to attend in person this year so being to attend by web-cast really saved the day!	10/6/2017 4:06 PM

## Q2 Was this CLE relevant to your practice area?

Answered: 20 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES		RESPONSES	
Yes		100.00%	20
No		0.00%	0
TOTAL			20


### Q3 Why wasn't this CLE relevant to your practice area?

Answered: 0   Skipped: 20

#	RESPONSES	DATE
	There are no responses.	

## Q4 How useful was the content presented?

Answered: 20 Skipped: 0




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Extremely useful	10.00%	2
Very useful	65.00%	13
Somewhat useful	25.00%	5
Not so useful	0.00%	0
Not at all useful	0.00%	0
TOTAL		20

#	IN WHAT WAY?	DATE
1	Eg. Mr. Curtice and Ms Ochalino (sp) are experts in their respective areas and their viewpoints are greatly appreciated	10/11/2017 12:25 PM
2	The EEOC information and case law update.	10/10/2017 8:58 AM
3	always useful to have an update of new developments in the law and reminder of important issues	10/9/2017 9:10 AM
4	As I did not actively practice law in this area this last year, the seminar enabled me to keep up with the latest developments in the area.	10/6/2017 4:07 PM
5	Helps get me brought up on several issues.	10/6/2017 3:53 PM

## Q5 Did the content of this session meet the stated learning objectives?

Answered: 19 Skipped: 1



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	100.00%	19
No	0.00%	0
TOTAL		19


## Q6 In what way did this CLE fail to meet your learning goals?

Answered: 0   Skipped: 20

#	RESPONSES	DATE
	There are no responses.	

## Q7 Please rate the effectiveness of each faculty member:

Answered: 20 Skipped: 0




	EXTREMELY EFFECTIVE	VERY EFFECTIVE	SOMEWHAT EFFECTIVE	NOT SO EFFECTIVE	NOT AT ALL EFFECTIVE	N/A	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
Anne Noel Occhialino	50.00% 10	40.00% 8	0.00% 0	5.00% 1	0.00% 0	5.00% 1	20	4.42
Vanessa Kaczmarek	21.05% 4	36.84% 7	31.58% 6	5.26% 1	5.26% 1	0.00% 0	19	3.63
Mark Fidel	35.00% 7	55.00% 11	10.00% 2	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	20	4.25
Stephen Curtice	33.33% 6	38.89% 7	11.11% 2	11.11% 2	0.00% 0	5.56% 1	18	4.00
Theresa W. Parrish	40.00% 8	45.00% 9	15.00% 3	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	20	4.25
Victor Montoya	30.00% 6	55.00% 11	0.00% 0	5.00% 1	5.00% 1	5.00% 1	20	4.05

#	COMMENTS:	DATE
1	I ranked Mark Fidel lower because it was not as useful for me.	10/6/2017 6:29 PM
2	I thought all the speakers were excellent.	10/6/2017 4:13 PM
3	I believe that William Slease took Stephen Curtice's place but Mr. Slease was very effective	10/6/2017 4:07 PM

## Q8 How would you like to receive information about future CLE programs?

Answered: 20 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES		RESPONSES	
Bar Bulletin CLE Planner (color insert)		60.00%	12
CLE Website		30.00%	6
Bar Bulletin CLE ad		30.00%	6
State Bar E-news (weekly e-newsletter)		25.00%	5
Bar Bulletin Calendar		20.00%	4
E-mail from State Bar		45.00%	9
Facebook		0.00%	0
Twitter		0.00%	0
Total Respondents: 20			

#	OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY)	DATE
1	Timely emails are especially helpful	10/11/2017 12:49 PM



## Q9 In what ways can the Center for Legal Education improve your learning experience?

Answered: 8   Skipped: 12

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	We need more AFFORDABLE CLEs! High priced CLEs prove bias in favor of attorneys with deep-pocket clients. The State Bar should provide FREE CLE's so that financially struggling attorneys are not forced out of practice by 'usurious' or unaffordable rates. Many areas of practice seldom see large retainer fees or do not have a client base capable of paying the large legal fees that make this a non-issue for many. Whatever the reason, no attorney should be forced out of practice by poverty, only by malfeasance proven at trial. The limit on credits for self-study makes no sense. Video replays are great and so are any on-demand courses...	10/11/2017 12:49 PM
2	None that I can think of.	10/10/2017 9:01 AM
3	Add more CLE's that provide more practical applications to civil litigation.	10/9/2017 12:09 PM
4	Not sure. Very pleased with the program. Maybe a little more balanced between defense/plaintiff perspectives, this one seemed very much aimed at defense lawyers.	10/9/2017 9:12 AM
5	The day before the live webcast, send a box containing coffee, cookies, diet cokes, lunch, etc. for use by webcast attendees the next day. Or, reduce the price of the live webcast.	10/7/2017 4:36 PM
6	Since I live in Las Cruces, the webcast option really works well. The webcast format worked fine.	10/6/2017 4:13 PM
7	So far, I have been pretty happy with the seminars that I have attended via webcast.	10/6/2017 4:07 PM
8	I really appreciate the live webcasts.	10/6/2017 4:06 PM


## Q10 What other topics and presenters would you like to see at future CLE programs?

Answered: 6   Skipped: 14

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	I would like to attend a CLE dealing with the recent Guardianship scandal... Refresher courses are always a big help, too (such as updates on civil procedure, contracts, criminal law, etc.) Panel discussions are among the worst teaching methods available, although it is among the best ways to stroke enormous egos. Time is better spent finding out BEFOREHAND what attorneys would like to know from those who would be on a panel and then addressing those issues with informed and researched responses. So-called group discussions are ok provided the lecturer is prepared to get responses that they may not like. If not, don't do it!	10/11/2017 12:49 PM
2	Additional labor/employment law seminars.	10/10/2017 9:01 AM
3	May sound odd but things like tricks of trade in dealing with evasive witnesses, how to pick a jury, how to combat confrontational opposing attorneys...in short, more practical information that can be applied in daily practice of law	10/9/2017 12:09 PM
4	I like more interactive sessions with case law examples	10/6/2017 6:29 PM
5	Government law related topics would be good.	10/6/2017 4:13 PM
6	I look forward to seeing more animal law seminar.	10/6/2017 4:07 PM

## Q11 I prefer the following types of learning methods (please see descriptions below):


Answered: 19 Skipped: 1



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Lecture: university-style talk to a large audience, using visual aids	84.21%	16
Large Group Discussion: open forum which allows audience to pose questions to presenter(s)	21.05%	4
Small Group Discussion: breakout sessions where attendees form small groups moderated by faculty	15.79%	3
Case Study: a lecture which centers around a specific case or cases relevant to the topic	42.11%	8
Role Play: interactive, audience participation-based scenarios such as a mock trial	10.53%	2
Total Respondents: 19		

## Q12 The Center for Legal Education is an accredited course provider through the New Mexico State Bar Foundation. How likely are you to attend a future Center for Legal Education course?

Answered: 20 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Extremely likely	75.00%	15
Very likely	25.00%	5
Somewhat likely	0.00%	0
Not so likely	0.00%	0
Not at all likely	0.00%	0
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>20</b>